

**COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS
DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC UTILITIES**

D.P.U. 24-25

DIRECT PRE-FILED JOINT TESTIMONY OF

SHIRA HOROWITZ

AND

THEODORE POE, JR.

ON BEHALF OF

BOSTON GAS COMPANY D/B/A NATIONAL GRID

EXHIBIT NG-FORECAST-1

February 9, 2024

Table of Contents

I. INTRODUCTION.....	1
II. SYSTEM PLANNING AND FORECASTING.....	4
III. CONCLUSION	11

JOINT TESTIMONY OF

SHIRA HOROWTIZ AND THEODORE POE, JR.

1 **I. INTRODUCTION**

2 **Shira Horowitz**

3 **Q. Dr. Horowitz, please state your name and business address.**

4 A. My name is Shira Horowitz. My business address is 170 Data Drive, Waltham,
5 Massachusetts 02451.

6 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

7 A. I am employed by National Grid USA Service Company (“NGSC”) as Director,
8 Load Forecasting & Analytics. I oversee the gas and electric load forecasts for
9 National Grid.

10 **Q. Please summarize your educational background and professional experience.**

11 A. I have been in my current position with NGSC since May 2021 where I oversaw
12 gas load forecasting for National Grid. In June 2022, I added the responsibility of
13 overseeing National Grid’s electric load forecasting. Before that, from June 2019
14 through April 2021, I was the Manager of Economics and Load Forecasting at
15 National Grid. Prior to joining National Grid, I worked at Consolidated Edison in
16 New York and PJM Interconnection in Pennsylvania. I received a Bachelor of
17 Engineering in Electrical Engineering from The Cooper Union in New York and a
18 Doctor of Philosophy in Engineering and Public Policy from Carnegie Mellon
19 University in Pennsylvania. I also completed a Fulbright Fellowship in Sustainable
20 Power Generation in Stockholm, Sweden.

1 **Q. Have you previously testified before the Department or any other regulatory**
2 **commissions?**

3 A. Yes, I recently testified before the Department of Public Utilities (“Department”)
4 in the Company’s Capital Investment Projects (“CIPs”) in Massachusetts Electric
5 Company and Nantucket Electric Company each d/b/a National Grid, D.P.U. 22-
6 170, Massachusetts Electric Company and Nantucket Electric Company each d/b/a
7 National Grid, D.P.U. 23-06, Massachusetts Electric Company and Nantucket
8 Electric Company each d/b/a National Grid, D.P.U. 23-09, and Massachusetts
9 Electric Company and Nantucket Electric Company each d/b/a National Grid,
10 D.P.U. 23-12. I have also testified several times before the Rhode Island Public
11 Utilities Commission.

12 **Theodore Poe, Jr.**

13 **Q. Mr. Poe, please state your name and business address.**

14 A. My name is Theodore Poe, Jr. My business address is 170 Data Drive, Waltham,
15 Massachusetts 02451.

16 **Q. By whom are you employed and in what capacity?**

17 A. I am Manager, Gas Load Forecasting for NGSC. In this position, I am responsible
18 for the preparation of the forecast of the resource requirements for Boston Gas.

19 **Q. Please summarize your educational background and professional experience.**

20 A. I graduated from the Massachusetts Institute of Technology in 1978 with a Bachelor
21 of Science degree in Geology. From 1981 to 1989, I worked as a Research
22 Associate with Jensen Associates, Inc. of Boston, where I was responsible for
23 developing a variety of computer-forecasting models to analyze natural gas supply

1 and demand for interstate pipeline and local gas distribution companies. I joined
2 Boston Gas Company in 1989, where I was responsible for modeling and
3 forecasting customers' natural gas resource requirements and managing the
4 resource planning process. In 1998-99, I assumed the same responsibilities for
5 Essex Gas Company and Colonial Gas Company. In 2000, I assumed responsibility
6 for modeling and forecasting the natural gas resource requirements of The Brooklyn
7 Union Gas Company and KeySpan Gas East Corporation. In 2008, I assumed
8 responsibility for modeling and forecasting the natural gas resource requirements
9 for National Grid in Rhode Island and New York.

10 **Q. Have you previously testified before the Department or any other regulatory**
11 **commissions?**

12 A. Yes. I testified before the Department in previous Forecast and Supply Plan
13 ("F&SP") filings in D.P.U. 13-01, D.P.U. 15-036, and D.P.U. 16-181, and
14 supported the F&SP filings in D.P.U. 18-148, D.P.U. 20-132, and D.P.U. 22-149.
15 In addition, I have testified in numerous proceedings before the Department
16 regarding gas resource contracting. I have also testified in numerous proceedings
17 before the Rhode Island Public Utilities Commission and the New Hampshire
18 Public Utilities Commission.

19 **Q. What is the purpose of your testimony in this proceeding?**

20 A. The purpose of our testimony is to provide an analysis of the Company's resource
21 requirements, which indicates a need for the resource described in the Testimony
22 of Ms. Elizabeth D. Arangio, Ms. Faye Brown, Ms. Samara A. Jaffe, Mr. Michael

1 J. Pini, and Ms. Deborah M. Whitney, Exhibit NG-Agreement-1. As discussed
2 below, the need analysis that we have prepared supports the Company's decision
3 to enter into arrangements with Constellation LNG, LLC ("Constellation"). As
4 described in more detail in Exhibit NG-Agreement-1, the Company has contracted
5 for LNG liquid and/or vapor from Constellation in order to serve existing customer
6 load and forecasted incremental load for firm sales and capacity-eligible customers
7 (the "Agreement").

8 **II. SYSTEM PLANNING AND FORECASTING**

9 **Q. Would you please describe the Company's process for system planning?**

10 A. The Company's core obligation is to provide safe, reliable and least-cost gas service
11 to all customers within its service territory. To meet this obligation, the Company
12 employs a multi-disciplined planning process that is designed to quantify existing
13 and future load requirements and to ensure that sufficient gas supply and gas
14 distribution resources are available to serve that load on a safe and reliable basis.
15 Thus, the principal areas of focus in determining "system need" for incremental gas
16 supply and capacity resources are the evaluation of: (1) whether there is sufficient
17 gas supply available to the Company to serve customer demand; and (2) whether
18 there is sufficient transportation and storage capacity available to deliver that gas
19 to customers on the peak hour, peak day, and over the peak season. For the
20 Company, reliable service to customers cannot be maintained unless identified
21 needs are addressed in each of these areas.

1 **Q. What is the Company's process for forecasting customer load requirements?**

2 A. In accordance with Department precedent, the Company develops the forecast of
3 customer requirements for the long-range resource plans based on a ten-year
4 planning horizon. The Company updates the ten-year forecast set forth in the long-
5 range resource plans on an annual basis to refine the prior long-range forecast and
6 to prepare a forecast for the subsequent ten-year planning horizon.

7 The Company has relied on the methodology approved in D.P.U. 20-132 to prepare
8 the updated forecast associated with this filing. At the time that the forecast
9 supporting the proposed Agreement was prepared, the forecast and supply plan
10 approved in D.P.U. 20-132 was the Company's most recently approved forecast
11 and supply plan.

12 Using the methodology approved in D.P.U. 20-132, the Company develops the
13 forecast of customer requirements under design weather planning conditions using
14 a five-step process, which involves:

15 (1) determining the annual retail demand expected for residential heating,
16 residential non-heating and commercial/industrial heating and
17 commercial/industrial non-heating markets over the forecast period for both
18 sales and transportation services using a series of econometric models at the
19 quarterly level;

20 (2) reducing the forecasted retail demand by the impact expected to be
21 achieved through the implementation of its Energy Efficiency programs as

1 well as anticipated electrification of heat initiatives and the impact of
2 Boston's Building Emissions Reduction and Disclosure Ordinance
3 ("BERDO") which sets requirements for large existing buildings to reduce
4 their greenhouse gas emissions over time, because these reductions are
5 exogenous to the demand forecast generated by the econometric models;
6
7 (3) converting the monthly retail demand forecast to a normalized forecast
8 of daily customer requirements;
9
10 (4) establishing its design-day and design-year planning standards; and
11
12 (5) specifying the forecasted daily customer requirements under design
13 weather conditions.

11 **Q. How does the Company establish and use its planning standards?**

12 A. In Step 4 of the forecasting process, the Company establishes appropriate planning
13 standards, which set forth the defined weather conditions and consequent sendout
14 requirements that must be met by the Company's resource portfolio throughout the
15 year in order to ensure reliable service to customers. In essence, the planning
16 standards dictate the amount and type of resources that the Company must have
17 available to serve customers during periods of peak demand. For purposes of the
18 long-range resource plan, the Company establishes a design-day standard and a
19 design-year standard, consistent with the Department's requirements. However,
20 the Company must also monitor and remediate any constraints on pipeline
21 deliveries to the Company's take stations under design weather conditions to ensure

1 that the Company has reserved sufficient capacity rights to maintain hourly flows
2 at the level required to meet sendout requirements.

3 The Company uses the design-day standard to establish the amount of *system-wide*
4 *throughput* (i.e., interstate pipeline and vaporization capacity) that must be
5 available to the system on the peak day. The design-year standard identifies the
6 amount of *gas supply* that will be required over the design year to provide
7 continuous service to customers under all design weather conditions. Through the
8 interaction of these two standards, the Company is able to ensure that sufficient
9 pipeline and vaporization capacity is available on the design day and that there is
10 adequate gas supply, flowing and in storage (underground storage and LNG), to
11 provide reliable service throughout the design year.

12 **Q. Did the Company perform a forecast analysis using the methodology discussed?**

13 A. Yes. The Company performed a forecast analysis using the methodology discussed
14 above and approved by the Department for the long-range resource plans.
15 Specifically, the Company developed both load requirements and resource
16 requirements from 2022/2023 through the length of the Agreement. To establish
17 the load requirement, the Company developed a monthly retail demand forecast
18 under normal weather assumptions. The Company then converted this retail
19 forecast to a daily normalized forecast of customer requirements over the ten-year
20 forecast period. Using its approved design-day and design-year weather-planning
21 standards, the Company then determined the design-year sendout requirements and
22 the design-day (peak-day) sendout requirements over the forecast period.

1 In preparing its forecast, the Company assumed load reductions due to Energy
2 Efficiency consistent with the Company's most-recent Three-Year Plan through
3 2024. Beyond 2024, the Company assumes that the energy efficiency programs
4 continue, however at a slower incremental rate since gas HVAC efficiency
5 measures will no longer be permitted. Electrification of heat is assumed to be
6 consistent with the 2022 – 2024 Energy Efficiency Plan, and continues beyond that
7 at similar growth rates for the rest of the forecast period. Additionally, the
8 Company adjusted its forecast for the impact of emissions reductions from large
9 existing buildings as per Boston's BERDO. One final adjustment to the Company's
10 forecast of supply requirements was made to address anticipated migration of
11 capacity-exempt customers to the Company's sales service, which qualifies those
12 customers as capacity-eligible and part of the Company's planning load from that
13 point forward. Since the winter of 2013/14, a number of capacity-exempt
14 customers have opted to become capacity-eligible, and the Company continues to
15 expect that trend to continue into the future.

16 To model the conversion from capacity-exempt to capacity-eligible, the Company
17 used the three-year average of conversions that occurred from capacity-exempt to
18 capacity-eligible from November 2020 through June 2023. This most recent data
19 implied an incremental design day load of 3,219 Dth/day. The Company then
20 assigned that amount of conversion to the Boston Gas service territory and added
21 that to its forecasted Sales and Customer Choice customer requirements to reflect
22 this migration for the 2023/24 planning year. The Company assumes that this trend

1 continues as similar rates for the remainder of the planning horizon. For the normal
2 year, the returned capacity-exempt load added to the Sales and Customer Choice
3 forecast was 445 BBtu/year in 2022/23, growing to 3,563 BBtu/year in 2029/30, or
4 an average annual growth of 445 BBtu per year. In comparison, the Company's
5 capacity-eligible normal year load (excluding the returning capacity-exempt load)
6 is projected to grow by 12,526 BBtu over the period from 2022/23 to 2029/30, or
7 an average annual growth of 1,789 BBtu per year.

8 For the design year, the returned capacity-exempt load added to the Sales and
9 Customer Choice forecast was 493 BBtu/year in 2022/23, growing to 3,948
10 BBtu/year in 2029/30. For the design day, the returned capacity-exempt load added
11 to the Sales and Customer Choice forecast was 3 BBtu/day in 2023/24, growing to
12 26BBtu/day in 2029/30.

13 The load requirement net of these adjustments is the input to the SENDOUT[®] model
14 to determine resource requirements.

15 **Q. How is this demand forecast compared to the demand forecast in the**
16 **D.P.U.22-149 Supply Plan?**

17
18 A. In the D.P.U. 22-149 Supply Plan (2022 LRP), the Company's forecast reflected
19 the continued recovery from the impacts of the COVID-19 pandemic and the price
20 advantage that natural gas continued to hold over heating oil. With the Company's
21 2023 annual update to its forecast, the Company's current forecast is lower, both in
22 terms of its design day and its normal year annual volumes (Table 1). Had the
23 Company's forecast remained at the level of its 2022 LRP, its need for the
24 Agreement would have been greater.

Table 1
Design Day (Dth) Normal Year (Dth)

	2022 LRP Forecast	2023 Forecast	2022 LRP Forecast	2023 Forecast
2023/24	1,468,291	1,387,042	139,567,594	131,941,644
2024/25	1,514,012	1,425,286	143,046,877	135,058,174
2025/26	1,534,822	1,464,746	144,978,299	138,698,239
2026/27	1,555,047	1,500,105	146,855,797	141,592,398
2027/28	1,575,336	1,518,088	148,682,771	143,981,003
2028/29	1,593,787	1,535,670	150,208,426	144,983,561
2029/30	1,605,440	1,547,304	151,395,698	146,239,635

- 1 **Q. How is this demand forecast then used in planning the Company’s gas**
2 **distribution system?**
- 3 A. From the Company’s design day forecast, the Company calculates its design hour
4 requirements to support its distribution system planning. The Company maintains a
5 Design Hour (the peak hour on a Design Day) planning criteria for planning purposes
6 to determine the level of supply and pressure needed to deliver gas without
7 interruption when demand is highest – typically during the early morning hours (when
8 customers generally turn up the thermostat and use gas for hot water/cooking), on days
9 that meet the Design Day criteria. The Design Hour criteria is five percent of the
10 Design Day. The Design Hour criteria determines the level of deliverability capacity
11 to and from city gate stations as well as on-system supply resources during the hour
12 of the day when maximum gas is consumed as customers turn up their thermostats,
13 cook, and use gas for hot water heating.

1 The Company also models the disaggregation of its design day forecast from the
2 system level (e.g., Boston, Essex, Lowell, Cape) down to the zip code level in each of
3 the four service territories to better inform its distribution system planning of the
4 spatial distribution of forecasted increases (or decreases) in its design day forecast
5 (Testimony of Ms. Elizabeth D. Arangio, Ms. Faye Brown, Ms. Samara A. Jaffe,
6 Michael J. Pini, and Ms. Deborah M. Whitney, Exhibit NG-Agreement-1 at Sec. V).

7 **III. CONCLUSION**

8 **Q. Does this conclude your pre-filed testimony in this proceeding?**

9 A. Yes. It does.